

# CADTH RAPID RESPONSE REPORT: SUMMARY WITH CRITICAL APPRAISAL

# Strength-based Exercise for Chronic Non-Cancer Back Pain: A Review of Clinical Effectiveness

Service Line: Rapid Response Service

Version: 1.0

Publication Date: September 27, 2019

Report Length: 7 Pages



Authors: Dinsie Williams, Hannah Loshak

Cite As: Strength-based exercise for chronic non-cancer back pain: a review of clinical effectiveness. Ottawa: CADTH; 2019 Sep. (CADTH rapid response report: summary with critical appraisal).

ISSN: 1922-8147 (online)

**Disclaimer:** The information in this document is intended to help Canadian health care decision-makers, health care professionals, health systems leaders, and policy-makers make well-informed decisions and thereby improve the quality of health care services. While patients and others may access this document, the document is made available for informational purposes only and no representations or warranties are made with respect to its fitness for any particular purpose. The information in this document should not be used as a substitute for professional medical advice or as a substitute for the application of clinical judgment in respect of the care of a particular patient or other professional judgment in any decision-making process. The Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health (CADTH) does not endorse any information, drugs, therapies, treatments, products, processes, or services.

While care has been taken to ensure that the information prepared by CADTH in this document is accurate, complete, and up-to-date as at the applicable date the material was first published by CADTH, CADTH does not make any guarantees to that effect. CADTH does not guarantee and is not responsible for the quality, currency, propriety, accuracy, or reasonableness of any statements, information, or conclusions contained in any third-party materials used in preparing this document. The views and opinions of third parties published in this document do not necessarily state or reflect those of CADTH.

CADTH is not responsible for any errors, omissions, injury, loss, or damage arising from or relating to the use (or misuse) of any information, statements, or conclusions contained in or implied by the contents of this document or any of the source materials.

This document may contain links to third-party websites. CADTH does not have control over the content of such sites. Use of third-party sites is governed by the third-party website owners' own terms and conditions set out for such sites. CADTH does not make any guarantee with respect to any information contained on such third-party sites and CADTH is not responsible for any injury, loss, or damage suffered as a result of using such third-party sites. CADTH has no responsibility for the collection, use, and disclosure of personal information by third-party sites.

Subject to the aforementioned limitations, the views expressed herein are those of CADTH and do not necessarily represent the views of Canada's federal, provincial, or territorial governments or any third party supplier of information.

This document is prepared and intended for use in the context of the Canadian health care system. The use of this document outside of Canada is done so at the user's own risk

This disclaimer and any questions or matters of any nature arising from or relating to the content or use (or misuse) of this document will be governed by and interpreted in accordance with the laws of the Province of Ontario and the laws of Canada applicable therein, and all proceedings shall be subject to the exclusive jurisdiction of the courts of the Province of Ontario, Canada.

The copyright and other intellectual property rights in this document are owned by CADTH and its licensors. These rights are protected by the Canadian *Copyright Act* and other national and international laws and agreements. Users are permitted to make copies of this document for non-commercial purposes only, provided it is not modified when reproduced and appropriate credit is given to CADTH and its licensors.

**About CADTH:** CADTH is an independent, not-for-profit organization responsible for providing Canada's health care decision-makers with objective evidence to help make informed decisions about the optimal use of drugs, medical devices, diagnostics, and procedures in our health care system.

Funding: CADTH receives funding from Canada's federal, provincial, and territorial governments, with the exception of Quebec.

Questions or requests for information about this report can be directed to Requests@CADTH.ca



### **Abbreviations**

CRD Centre for Reviews and Dissemination

PRISMA preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses

### **Context and Policy Issues**

Chronic primary pain typically refers to pain in one or more parts of the body that recurs or lasts for longer than 12 weeks. Up to 20% of Canadians live with chronic pain and two-thirds report that their pain is moderate to severe. Chronic primary pain may lead to significant emotional distress, anxiety and depressed mood and may cause functional disability and interfere with daily activities.

The back is considered one of the most common locations of chronic non-cancer pain.<sup>3</sup> Although back pain is often attributed to a diverse group of factors<sup>2,3</sup> such as genetics, sex, pregnancy status, environmental factors, and psychological factors, its true etiology is difficult to determine.<sup>4</sup> Notwithstanding the complexity of diagnosing chronic back pain, there are several pharmacological and non-pharmacological options that are used to manage the condition. Pharmacological options include opioids, nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs, acetaminophen, muscle relaxants, antiseizure medications, antidepressants, and corticosteroids.3 These medications are known to have mild to severe side effects, and in some cases are accompanied with a significant risk of addiction.<sup>5</sup> Alternate management options range from mind-body practices and acupuncture to surgery, physical therapy, and exercise. Given that there may be a link between muscle weakness, low physical activity, and increased risk of back pain, strength-based exercise has been extensively explored as a potentially viable treatment option for chronic back pain.<sup>7-9</sup> For the purposes of this report, strength-based exercise is defined as exercise that increases muscular strength or toning (i.e., resistance training, intense and low-level weightlifting, body weight exercise designed for strength, and machine repetitions). Specifically, aerobic exercise, yoga, Pilates, tai chi, physiotherapy, and sling training are not included in this definition.

A summary of abstracts published by CADTH in 2017 identified 46 systematic reviews (12 with meta-analysis) that evaluated the clinical benefits and harms of exercise for adults with back pain, with publication dates between January 1, 2012 and July 21, 2017. The included systematic reviews covered various strengthening exercises, motor control exercises, core-strength training strategies, and resistance training, among other forms of exercise. Strength-based exercise was the intervention of interest in four of the systematic reviews, however, their focus was not exclusively on patients with chronic pain and their comparators were mixed and in some cases, undefined.

The current review aims to summarize and evaluate evidence regarding the clinical effectiveness of strength-based exercise alone relative to pharmacological interventions alone for chronic non-cancer back pain in non-pregnant people.

### **Research Questions**

1. What is the clinical effectiveness of strength-based exercise for chronic non-cancer back pain?



### **Key Findings**

No evidence was found regarding the clinical effectiveness of strength-based exercise alone compared with pharmacological interventions for chronic non-cancer back pain in non-pregnant people.

### **Methods**

### Literature Search Methods

A limited literature search was conducted by an information specialist on key resources including Medline via OVID, the Cochrane Library, the University of York Centre for Reviews and Dissemination (CRD) databases, the websites of Canadian and major international health technology agencies, as well as a focused Internet search. The search strategy was comprised of both controlled vocabulary, such as the National Library of Medicine's MeSH (Medical Subject Headings), and keywords. The main search concepts were back pain and strength-based exercise. Search filters were applied to limit retrieval to health technology assessments, systematic reviews, meta-analyses, or network meta-analyses, randomized controlled trials, or controlled clinical trials. Where possible, retrieval was limited to the human population. The search was also limited to English language documents published between January 1, 2014 and September 6, 2019.

### Selection Criteria and Methods

One reviewer screened citations and selected studies. In the first level of screening, titles and abstracts were reviewed and potentially relevant articles were retrieved and assessed for inclusion. The final selection of full-text articles was based on the inclusion criteria presented in Table 1.

**Table 1: Selection Criteria** 

| Population    | Individuals with chronic back pain from any cause (other than cancer), who are not pregnant  |
|---------------|--|
| Intervention  | Strength-based exercise (i.e., weightlifting, resistance training) alone, excluding aerobic exercise, yoga, Pilates, tai chi, physiotherapy, or sling training |
| Comparator(s) | Pharmacological interventions (e.g., analgesics; including usual care if usual care is continuation of pharmacological interventions alone)                    |
| Outcome(s)    | Clinical effectiveness (e.g., pain reduction, functional performance, quality of life, disability level, global impression of recovery, adverse events)        |
| Study Designs | Health technology assessments, systematic reviews, meta-analyses, and randomized controlled trials   |

### **Exclusion Criteria**

Articles were excluded if they did not meet the selection criteria outlined in Table 1, they were duplicate publications, or were published prior to 2014. Studies that may have included strength-based exercise but focused on aerobic exercise, yoga, Pilates, tai chi, physiotherapy or sling training as the primary intervention were excluded. Importantly, studies were excluded where strength-based exercise was embedded within another intervention.



### **Summary of Evidence**

### Quantity of Research Available

A total of 446 citations were identified in the literature search. Following screening of titles and abstracts, 432 citations were excluded and 14 potentially relevant reports from the electronic search were retrieved for full-text review. Two potentially relevant publications were retrieved from the grey literature search and other sources for full text review. Of these 16 potentially relevant articles, none met the inclusion criteria for this report and all 16 publications were excluded for various reasons. Appendix 1 presents the PRISMA<sup>11</sup> flowchart of the study selection.

### Summary of Study Characteristics

No health technology assessments, systematic reviews, meta-analyses, or randomized controlled trials were found that met the study inclusion criteria, therefore a summary could not be compiled.

### Summary of Critical Appraisal

No relevant studies were found therefore, a summary could not be compiled.

### Summary of Findings

No relevant studies were found therefore, a summary could not be compiled.

## **Conclusions and Implications for Decision or Policy Making**

This current review found no evidence on the clinical effectiveness of strength-based exercise alone compared with pharmacological interventions for chronic non-cancer back pain in non-pregnant people.

Research comparing strength-based training alone with pharmacological interventions alone for chronic non-cancer back pain may provide decision-makers with valuable insights and help to inform important public health policies for the Canadian population.



### References

- 1. Treede R-F, Rief W, Barke A, et al. A classification of chronic pain for ICD-11. *Pain*. 2015;156(6):1003–1007.
- Canadian Pain Task Force. Chronic pain in Canada: laying a foundation for action. Ottawa (ON): Government of Canada;
  2019: <a href="https://www.canada.ca/en/health-canada/corporate/about-health-canada/public-engagement/external-advisory-bodies/canadian-pain-task-force/report-2019.html#intro. Accessed 2019 Sep 25.</a>
- 3. Skelly AC, Chou R, Dettori JR, et al. Noninvasive nonpharmacological treatment for chronic pain: a systematic review (Comparative effectiveness review no. 209). Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; 2018: https://effectivehealthcare.ahrg.gov/products/nonpharma-treatment-pain/research-2018. Accessed 2018 Sep 25.
- 4. Saragiotto BT, Maher CG, Yamato TP, et al. Motor control exercise for nonspecific low back pain: a Cochrane review. Spine. 2016;41(16):1284-1295.
- 5. Busse JW, Craigie S, Juurlink DN, et al. Guideline for opioid therapy and chronic noncancer pain. *CMAJ*. 2017;189(18):E659-E666.
- 6. Lee JS, Kang SJ. The effects of strength exercise and walking on lumbar function, pain level, and body composition in chronic back pain patients. *J Exerc Rehabil*. 2016;12(5):463-470.
- 7. Wendt M, Cieslik K, Lewandowski J, Waszak M. Effectiveness of combined general rehabilitation gymnastics and muscle energy techniques in older women with chronic low back pain. *BioMed Research International*. 2019;2019:2060987.
- 8. Cortell-Tormo JM, Sanchez PT, Chulvi-Medrano I, et al. Effects of functional resistance training on fitness and quality of life in females with chronic nonspecific low-back pain. *J Back Musculoskelet Rehabil*. 2018;31(1):95-105.
- 9. Haufe S, Wiechmann K, Stein L, et al. Low-dose, non-supervised, health insurance initiated exercise for the treatment and prevention of chronic low back pain in employees. Results from a randomized controlled trial. *PLoS ONE [Electronic Resource]*. 2017;12(6):e0178585.
- Exercise for the management of back pain: clinical effectiveness. (CADTH Rapid response report: summary of abstracts).
  Ottawa (ON): CADTH; 2017: <a href="https://cadth.ca/exercise-management-back-pain-clinical-effectiveness">https://cadth.ca/exercise-management-back-pain-clinical-effectiveness</a>. Accessed 2019 Sep
- 11. Liberati A, Altman DG, Tetzlaff J, et al. The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate health care interventions: explanation and elaboration. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 2009;62(10):e1-e34.



# **Appendix 1: Selection of Included Studies**

